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Statement on the New Alliance for Food Security and Nutrition in Africa towards Ise-Shima Summit 2016

23 May 2016, Japan

We, the citizens, who have been engaging with defending food sovereignty and the right to food in Africa, hereby manifest our deepest concerns to the G7 leaders concerning the “New Alliance for Food Security and Nutrition” in Africa, at the G7 Ise-Shima Summit, held on May 25th and 26th, 2016.

Since the G8 Camp David Summit, held in 2012, where the New Alliance was established, civil society organizations and specialists around the world have been expressing great concern over this program, and produced numerous reports and statements calling for the G7 leaders to stop all engagements with and support for the program. As the New Alliance began to move forward in Africa, some of our fears became a reality. More than 30 international and 100 national organizations collectively announced the “Call of civil society organizations to their governments on the New Alliance for Food Security and Nutrition in Africa,” right before the G7 Summit 2015.

The former UN Special Rapporteur on the Right to Food, Olivier De Schutter, concluded, in the report submitted to the Development Committee of the European Parliament, that the New Alliance is “seriously deficient in a number of areas” and “based on an out-dated model of agricultural development, one that predates the new thinking that emerged when the international community tried to draw the lessons from the global food price crisis of 2008.”

As a result, in April 2016, the EU committee released a report on the New Alliance and called for its remediation.

However, the “New Alliance’s Joint Annual Progress Report 2014-2015”, released in October 2015, and the “G7 Progress Report”, released in May 2016, failed to address the problems raised by the above mentioned actors. It neither reflected their recommendations nor provided adequate remediation. The G7 Progress Report announced by the Japanese government is focused on corporate needs, not on food and nutritional insecurity and poverty, with insufficient impact assessments made on small-scale producers. In essence, basic human rights principles continue to be ignored.

The above-mentioned concerns raised by many stakeholders from around the world have not lost their validity and should be respected, reiterated and reflected in the G7 policy. Therefore, we will re-introduce the Call, which was signed by more than 130 civil society organizations last year.

We call to the representatives of the G7 countries who gather in Ise-Shima Summit to stop all engagements in, and support for, the New Alliance, and to ensure that all other policies and programs on food and nutritional security are coherent with international human rights obligations, rooted in food and peasant sovereignty.

---

1 http://www.farmlandbrab.org/25006
5 http://www.globaljustice.org.uk/sites/default/files/files/resources/joint_na_briefing_english_version_0.pdf
Call of civil society organizations to their governments on the New Alliance for Food Security and Nutrition in Africa

3 June 2015

Small-scale food producers are collectively the leading investors in agriculture, estimated to produce 70% of the food in Africa. Addressing food and nutrition insecurity on the continent requires the full participation of those who are already producing, and promoting an agricultural system based on human rights and food sovereignty through local control over natural resources, seeds, land, water, forests, knowledge and technology. This is crucial for small-scale women and men farmers, pastoralists, livestock farmers, fisherfolk and hunter-gatherer societies. However, African governments and international donors support to African agriculture increasingly focuses on the extension of corporate led food and agricultural systems to the detriment of small-scale food producers.

One of the most worrying illustrations of this trend is the G8 “New Alliance for Food Security and Nutrition”, launched in 2012 by the G8 and implemented in ten African countries. The New Alliance, following similar initiatives such as AGRA and GROW Africa, is based on the simplistic assumption that corporate investment in agriculture will increase production and that this will automatically improve food and nutrition security and reduce poverty. This logic completely neglects that food and nutrition security means consistent access to a diverse and nutritious diet, which will not be achieved simply by increasing food production. Moreover, much of the production supported by the New Alliance is in crops with relatively low nutritional value as well as in crops which are destined for export and/or non-food production.

Notwithstanding the lack of transparency in implementation of the New Alliance, experiences on the ground and case studies confirm that the policies promoted by the New Alliance facilitate the grabbing of land and other natural resources, further marginalize small-scale producers, and undermine the right to adequate food and nutrition. The New Alliance cooperation framework agreements were hastily erected on the mere promise that the initiative will “unleash the power of the private sector”, ignoring the risks that will fall on small-scale food producers and other marginalized groups. The agreements were made with no or little participation of small-scale food producers and groups affected by malnutrition, and they contain no concrete indicators on hunger and malnutrition. Furthermore, neither the G8 nor the G7 has a mandate to pursue these policy changes in other countries; the adequate forum for agreement to policy guidance is the UN Committee on World Food Security where all concerned parties have a voice.

The adoption of New Alliance policy commitments by African countries enables companies to do business through the easing of export controls and tax laws, changing seed laws in the favor of multi-national companies, and through governments facilitating transfers of community land to investors. In spite of the urgent need for tax revenue to fund rural community development, countries have agreed to reduce taxes on agribusiness and on the inputs used most heavily by large farms. Existing projects backed by the New Alliance threaten small-scale farmers control over land and seeds, marginalize local markets and contribute to loss of biodiversity and soil fertility. This undermines the livelihoods of local communities and adequate nutrition based, among others, on access to diverse and nutritious diets. In several countries, seed laws are being introduced that could effectively criminalize farmer-to-farmer seed exchange in the future. These policies and laws undermine peasants’ rights, bio-diversity, and the right to adequate food and nutrition. They will exacerbate future climate and economic shocks for small-scale farmers, instead of building their resilience to cope with such shocks.
These changes are being made without national debate, thereby undermining democratic structures.

**The Alternatives**

Our organizations support investment alternatives made in response to the priorities of small-scale producers, and which contribute to the realization of the right to food. Alternative responses include provision of public services and infrastructure to support rural communities and local markets. Incentives such as public procurement, will allow small-scale producers to make additional investments and increase food production through decentralized, autonomous, local and sustainable food systems.

While the New Alliance emphasizes the need to “link smallholders to markets” the projects it supports privilege global markets dominated by corporate traders, ignoring the existent vibrant and diverse local food systems that ensure the sustenance of the majority of Africa’s population today. For small-scale producers market access in itself is not sufficient, but rather the conditions of their access are crucial as are the rules and logics by which particular markets operate. Small-scale producers are present above all in informal markets, which channel food for the majority of the population. Little data has been collected on existing food systems and more research and public investment should be targeted to support systems that are already working.

Supporting markets that respond to the logic of sustainable family farming can also have a positive impact on climate change, rural employment and migration flows. But it is crucial that small-scale food producers are in the driver’s seat and have their own independent organizations to support them to retain control of their land, natural resources and projects that affect them.

**The African Union and the G7 will hold their Heads of States Summit in June 2015. We call on all Governments participating in the New Alliance to:**

- Stop all engagement in and support for the New Alliance. Governments should ensure that all other policies and programmes on food and nutrition security are coherent with their international human rights obligations, including in relation to the right to adequate food and nutrition, and follow the UN Food and Agricultural Organizations’ Guidelines on the Right to Food, and the UN Committee on World Food Security’s Land Tenure Guidelines. 9
- Suspend implementation of policy commitments and projects until they are reviewed in each country by a multi-stakeholder platform that includes small-scale food producers’ organizations and marginalized groups. Withdraw from those policies and projects that fail to promote the right to food, that undermine land access and the tenure rights of women and communities, or that prioritize business interests over those of marginalized population groups and the environment.
- Always defend the right to Free, Prior and Informed Consent of all communities affected by land deals and their full participation in the governance of land and natural resources.
Africa

- Require full transparency of contracts and binding commitments for companies on rural employment and living wages, respecting ILO conventions with provisions for continual monitoring.
- Respect farmers’ rights to produce, protect, use, exchange, promote and sell farm-saved seeds and expand support to farmer’s owned seeds banks and systems. Stop and review all processes that lead to seed laws based on UPOV 1991, patents or other laws that threaten small-scale farmers’ rights.
- Enact public policies that support small-scale food producers and advance food sovereignty, the right to food, and agroecology with the full involvement of small-scale producers, civil society organizations, consumers and their organizations at national and regional levels.

[Contact]
Naoko Watanabe, Program Officer for South Africa
Japan International Volunteer Center (JVC)
Tel : 03-3834-2388／Email : nabekama@ngo-jvc.net
■ respectfully ■

- Africa Japan Forum
- Africa Japan Forum
- Japan Youth Platform for Sustainability
- Society For Zimbabwe
- Japan International Volunteer Center (JVC)
- Hunger Free World
- Sento-Tarui
- Civic Institute for Civil Society
- MIE NPO Network Center
- Association of Yokkaichi NPOs
- Universal Working Center
- NO! to Land Grab, Japan
- GCC LLC
- ODA-NET
- Yoshiko Hasegawa
- Vicente Adriano Vicente
- Hagiwara Misa
- yokota misuzu
- Kiyoshi Shiratori
- Tsuyama Naoko
- Masako Tanaka
- Yosinori Usami
- Naoko Watanabe
- FUTAMURE Kyoko
- MAYUMI SUGOU
- wakamatsu yoko
- Mieko Kurokawa
- Saito Ryoichiro
- Masayoshi Iyoda
- Yuji Matsuhira
- Tohru SHIRAKAWA
- Kaori Mizumoto
- MARIKO OMURA
- HAKOYAMA Fumiko
- Masao Kamei
- Natsumi Omuro
- IWAI YUKINO
• TAKEMURA Keiko
• KAZUE NAKAHARA
• Africa Japan Forum MOZUMI Mamoru
• Seiji Hara
• MASAHIKO SHIMA
• Sakai Makiko
• INOUE YASUKO
• Keiko Negishi
• MIYAMICHI Kazuchiyo
• Haruse Murata
• Tatsuo Kishi
• Sumiko Teranishi
• Social Innovation Lab Kayoko Tonomura
• Kiyotaka Takahashi
Prior to the start of the present conflict, we were engaged in aid activities in Syria. Following the start of the war, we have been providing humanitarian aid, and we began working for peace in Syria after hearing the voices of common citizens who have been affected by the war’s violence.

The path towards peace in Syria has become increasingly difficult. In December 2015, the United Nations Security Council adopted Resolution 2254, but due to increasing tensions among nations in the region, and conflicting interests among those nations, the US and countries in Europe, the way forward is unclear.

Humanitarian aid has become ever more necessary, but no matter how much aid is provided, there will be no end to the loss of life and numbers of refugees without the establishment of a fundamental peace.

We believe the 2016 Ise-Shima G7 Summit in Japan will be an important opportunity for realizing peace in Syria. Japan is highly trusted by Syrians and civil society in the Middle East, and in regards to peace in Syria, Japan is one of the few countries in the G7 that does not have a special stake in the conflict. Also, on January 1st 2016 Japan became a Non-permanent Member of the UN Security Council. This brings increasing international responsibility, and there are high expectations for Japan’s new role. Furthermore, due to the increasing number of Syrian refugees fleeing to neighboring nations and Europe, there are reports of citizens of these nations who see the Syria issue as an important part of the G7 Ise-Shima Summit agenda.

Taking the above factors into account, we advocate that not only the issue of humanitarian aid, but also the following two points be addressed at the G7 Ise-shima Summit:

We ask the Government of Japan to assume leadership in the Syrian peace process. Concretely speaking, at the Summit we ask the government to lead discussions among G7 leaders for promoting a process of non-military dialogue in line with the UN Security Council Resolution 2254.
1. We ask the Government of Japan to assume this leadership role in order to promote smooth discussions in which the leaders of each G7 nation rise above their national interests to work towards a non-military resolution for Syrian peace.

The G7 Ise-Shima Summit is a unique opportunity for Japan as a non-permanent member of the Security Council to show the world its leadership in the process leading
to peace in Syria. We sincerely hope the Japanese Government will positively respond to this opportunity.

① Organize a workshop as a venue for Syrian refugees in neighboring nations to talk about the future of Syria by themselves.
② Convene a forum for dialogue among Syrian and Japanese experts in academia to work towards a ceasefire and post-conflict reconstruction.
③ Develop a scheme for Japan to accept Syrian refugees including university students.

- The Syria support organization SADAQA FOR SYRIA
- NPO Japan Iraq Medical Network (JIM-NET)
- NPO Japan International Volunteer Center (JVC)
- NPO Corporation World Vision Japan (WVJ)
- NPO Japan Association for Refugees (JAR)
Syrian Refugees

respectfully

- WOMEN'S FEDERATION FOR WORLD PEACE
- Anti-war Network
- Civic Institute for Civil Society
- MIE NPO Network Center
- Association of Yokkaichi NPOs
- Japan Iraq Medical Network
- Japan Campaign to Ban Landmines
- Lilio Environmental Forum
- Asian Health Institute (AHI)
- Students for a Free Tibet Japan Mie chapter
- RHYTHM NETWORK
- Africa Japan Forum
- A SEED JAPAN
- Amnesty International Japan
- Nippon International Cooperation for Community Development
- Ayus·Buddhist Temple
- Oxfam Japan
- KAMONOHASHI Project
- Japan NGO Center for International Cooperation
- PEACEBOAT
- Children and Women Islamic Association
- Sadaqa for Syria
- Japan Campaign to Ban Landmines
- Save the Children Japan
- NGO No War Network
- Nanmin Now
- Association for Aid and Relief, Japan
- Japan Iraq Medical Network
- Japan Action for Relief Center
- Japan International Volunteer Center
- Japan Syria Cooperation Association
- CCP Japan
- Fukko no Tamatebako
- Human Rights Now
- MOMRINGS
- Minnade tsukuru Syria ten
Syrian Refugees

- RAFIQ
- World Vision Japan
- Africa Japan Forum
- Kyoko Shimizu
- NISHII Kazuhiro
- Yosinori Usami
- Ken Morino
- Nao Otake
- KEIKO INOUE
- Takakiyo Koizumi
- Megumi Hirayama
- masahiko shirai
- Atsuko Nakahara
- Fumiko Hakoyama
- Yasuko Tanaka
- Saki Hiraoka
- SHIHO KUDO
- Etsuko KITAGAWA
- MAYU UENO
- Kiyoshi Washida
- Maki Shitajima
- Sasaki Takuya
- Junko FUKUCHI
- Shoichi Imaida
- Sumire Kanda
- Hosomura Keiko
- Mana Nagashima
- JICA Volunteer
- Ai Oshima(JIM-NET)
- Junko Utsumi
- Shimada Yuna
- HIGAAYA
- Jinin Oroka
- Mari Sai
- YUKO YAMADA
- tanimura hidetaka
- Naomi Fukuda
- asora suzuki
• Rumi Iwasaki
• YOSHINORI IWAI
• YUKUKO SOGABE
• inagaki hiromi
• MITA KEIKO
• Masafumi Tamura
• Hiromi Shimizu
• MIDORI WATANABE
• Satori fujii
• YUUGO KODAMA
• NAKAO MASAHIRO
• Naoko Tsuyama
• Naoko Watanabe
• Natsumi Omuro
• Yuki Hisatomi
We, the civil society participating in the food security and nutrition session, proposed the following to the G7 leaders:

1) Japan, as host of G7, should use experience in combating hunger, malnutrition and obesity to promote G7 advocacy on nutrition: school lunches, integration of nutrition in education/agriculture and investment in dietitians

2) G7 countries will develop action plans complete with accountability, financing and transparency.
■ respectfully

- Civic Institute for Civil Society
- MIE NPO Network Center
- Association of Yokkaichi NPOs
- Universal Working Center
- Jinin Oroka
- Tatsuo Kishi
- Notre Monde Nagoya    Shiho Deguchi
- yosinori usami
Recommendations by the Civil Society Organizations of the Region hosting the G7 Ise-Shima Summit

We, the Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) of the local area hosting the G7 Ise-Shima Summit recognize the strong connections between local and global issues, and therefore make recommendations to the leaders of the G7 based on our agreements adopted at the “Japan Civil Society Platform on 2016 G7 Ise-Shima Summit” on May 24, 2016.

Disaster
Enhance activities to build a disaster resilient civil society with initiative of disaster survivors

(Keywords)
“Human Charter and minimum standards for humanitarian responses (the Sphere Standard)”, “participation of disaster victims”, “larger hazards and urbanization caused by global environmental change”, “volunteers, NPOs, and NGOs in diverse fields”, “intermediary organization”, “activity environment at times of disaster”, “corporate support, “science and technology”, “community building”, “advance reconstruction plan”, “district disaster prevention plan”, and “disaster prevention education”.

Current situation of local areas

Japan, particularly the Tokai Region, has suffered immense damage caused by Nankai Trough earthquakes/tsunamis throughout the years. The area has developed facing and overcoming these hardships. Disaster preparedness, disaster mitigation, and many other approaches have been developed by citizens initiatives throughout its history, and we believe that many ideas can be shared with the world. However, there are still several problems in terms of supporting disaster survivors with minimum global standards, such as preventing disaster-related deaths. This issue gained particular attention during the 2016 Kumamoto Earthquakes. The country needs to work further to build organizational structures for intermediary support that link the disaster survivors and supporting organizations.

Need for a global approach

With the progress of globalization and technology advancement, residents of each region are becoming more diverse in terms of ethnicity, social class, physical or mental disability, values and more. At the same time, damage caused by climate change and social environment are also diversifying and becoming more severe. Especially during the mega disasters such as 2004 Indian Ocean earthquake/tsunami affecting broad areas providing assistance is critical. After the Indian Ocean
Disaster earthquake/tsunami disaster, several organizations from all over the world responded simultaneously in dis-coordinated way. Mutual coordination among donors are required to avoid duplication of assistance and provide in needed support properly. In order to handle such kind of mega disasters, stakeholders must not only sustained their own activities but also build collaboration among various institutions both in terms of area and affiliation.

Given the above situation, we hereby call on the governments of the G7, and especially the Japanese government hosting the summit, to take the following measures.

- **Disseminating and localizing the Humanitarian Charter and minimum standards in humanitarian relief activities.**
  As witnessed during the Great East Japan Earthquake, disaster affected people's could not receive sufficient support for psychological or physical health, respecting their human dignity, not only in developing nations, but also in developed nations. The governments of the G7 nations, and especially the Japanese government acting as the Chair, must actively implement the Humanitarian Charter and minimum standards throughout humanitarian response in accordance with each places's local/specific situation and reflect them to all disaster prevention, mitigation, and recovery policies and guidelines.

- **Guarantee the participation of disaster survivors in decision making processes of disaster prevention, mitigation, and recovery policies.**
  After a disaster, it is fundamental that local government and citizens build up a system to ensure that every single survivor is assured of his/her psychological or physical health along with human dignity. To this end, the government in concern must, in line with the citizens of the affected area, guarantee the participation of stakeholders such as the disaster survivors, particularly the people who face difficulties such as the elderly, foreign citizens, people with disability, and women and children. These stakeholders must be involved in the decision making process for disaster prevention, mitigation, and recovery policies so that their voices will be directly reflected.

- **Building a platform to address serious damage caused by bigger hazards.**
  As the scale of hazard grows and its frequency increases due to global environmental change and urbanization, damages following a disaster are becoming more serious. Governments of each country must promote platforms consisting of volunteers, NPOs, NGOs, companies, local governments, states and countries to cooperate beyond their regions and fields regardless of scale, on the basis of
enhancing mutual assistance by disaster survivors. To this end, the governments must help create an intermediary support organization that connects the regions, states and fields.

- **Support mutual assistance and the activities by volunteers, NPOs and NGOs that work in different fields.**
  Mutual assistance and the activities by volunteers, NPOs and NGOs at local level play an important role to complement public assistance to reduce disaster impacts and promote recovery processes. Especially in terms of providing assistance to the socially vulnerable people including women, children, the elderly and people with disability, the role of volunteers, NPOs and NGOs that carry out support activities on a regular basis play significant roles at times of disaster. Governments of each state must further enhance the mutual assistance system at local level considering so that volunteers, NPOs and NGOs may carry out activities in diverse fields, and create an environment which enables prompt action at times of disaster.

- **Improve mechanism and systems to enable the private sector to provide support to disaster survivors taking advantages on company's expertise and scale.**
  Provision of resources and aid with expertise play an essential role in life recovery of disaster survivors. Governments of each nation must improve the mechanism and system to integrate various companies to provide assistance to disaster survivors maximizing their business expertise on business.

- **Provide support for science and technology development and disaster risk reduction studies and to promote resilient community by preparing pre-disaster recovery plan.**
  Although knowledge on disaster mechanism and science and technology advancement have enabled us to understand the disaster risks in advance to mitigate damage, that knowledge is often not properly applied in pre-disaster planning. We request the governments to provide further assistance to science and technology development and disaster risk reduction studies, to improve public access to related information and create a disaster resilient community. In addition, stakeholders must develop mechanisms and systems to plan out pre-disaster recovery plans to mitigate damages at times of disaster and to recover the community while maintaining the local culture and tradition, along with local residents’ self-sustaining disaster prevention plans.

We make the following recommendations as basic activities to realize the above suggestions.
Carry out disaster prevention activities to foster resilient community where all citizens participate to overcome hardship.

Disasters may lead us to lose our lives, psychological and physical health, human dignity, and valuable assets. Disaster risk reduction education enhances disaster awareness and strengthens people’s abilities to overcome difficulties caused by disasters. Such education is the most effective disaster countermeasure, but it is not provided sufficiently. Governments of each country must visualize the hazards of each region and provide continuous education according to the regions’ disaster characteristics from childhood, empowering residents so that they can avoid disaster risks and tackle difficulties. By these actions and through independent learning, disaster awareness will be embedded into the civil society as culture.
Respectfully

- NPO corporation MIE Citizen's Council of Disaster Prevention
- NPO corporation Rescue Stock Yard
- The Society for Disaster Prevention in Tyubu-Area
- Jun Kawaguchi
- Mayumi Sakamoto
- Maki Koyama
- Nonprofit Organization Bousai Network UBE
- Work Style Design
- Nagoya NGO Center
- Hello Volunteer Network MIE
- hureai[enoki]
- SAKAMOTO NOBUHIRO
- UniversalDesign·Step
- rec
- Anjyou bousai net
- General incorporated association Platform for regional cooperation
- Yokkaichi Pharmaceutical Association
- Kyoto Shiga Tsubominokai
- Asian Health Institute
- International Firstaid & Rescue Research Institute
- Africa Japan Forum
- MIE NPO Network Center
- Association of Yokkaichi NPOs
- Civic Institute for Civil Society
- NPO) Japan First Aid Society
- Anti-war Network
- Asian Health Institute
- Nagoya NGO Center
- ANGEL LAMP
- Senti-Tarui
- Universal Working Center
- greenumbrella
- Satoru Gamou
Disaster

- NSVN · LEE Inchul
- V.C of Izumi Ward Disaster Volunteer Network Tetsuji EJIRI
- Naoki Kurita
- NPO in disaster relief RESCUE STOCK YARD / Yoshiki Usami
- Takeshi Yasui
- Kazuya Abiko
- Yosinori Usami
- Junko Okumura
- NAOMI YOKOI
- HAJIME KATO
- Rescuenow Crisis Lab. / Keiichi Ichikawa
- MITSUYA INUI
- Tatsuhiro Kishie
- KELJI IWAI
- HIDEYA IWATA
- Yoshijima Takako
- Yoshiteru Kondo
- KAGIYA Hajime
- SHIHO KUDO
- Hiroshi Arakawa
- Yumi Kagawa
- Shoko Takahashi
- Shoichi Imaida
- Sato Hitoshi
- Kinzo Saeki
- MITA KEIKO
- Hideo Yamasaki
- MIKKO YAMADA
- Hiroshi Matsuno
- Toshiaki Ueyama
- Sumire Kanda
- TOMOYUKI MIZUKAMI
- Chikako Sugawara
- Kyoko Shimizu
• NISHII Kazuhiro
• YASUHIRO NISHIKAWA
• Asano Sachiko
• Masakatsu Onishi
• Eiichi
• Motohiko Nagaoka
• SAYOKO TORII
• Takayuki Tsuga
• Tsuyama Naoko
• YASUTOMO TSUTSUMI
• CHIAKI WATANABE
• Hideo Watanabe
• Ryuji Fujiwara
• chiaki-fujino
• Myumi Yonezawa
• Keiko Kitaonie
• Sumiko Akashi
• Yasushi ARISAKA
• Jinin Oroka
• Shoko Takahashi
• sato hitoshi
• MITA KEIKO
• Mieko Kurokawa
• Masayoshi Iyoda
• Shinobu Mukai
• Kondo Kimihiko
• Kinichiro Nakamura
• Ryota Ishihara
• Sugimoto Akiko
• Masuaki Asada(Smart environmental social research institute)
• Kyoko Tomura
• Itsuro Suzuki
• Toshihiko Fukuda
• yamamoto mineo
• Hiroshi Kashiwagi
• Motoko Hirota
• NAGOYA UNIVERSITY NAW THIRI MAY AYE
• Masaru Morita
• 築城 敏美
• 成島 有史
Children

Proposals from the Civic Society of the G7 Ise-Shima Summit Host Region

All the countries in the world have a common goal with regard to the realization of the rights for children as defined in the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Children. This goal states that we strive to create a peaceful society that has the best interest for children and that allows children to grow up with peace of mind and hope, regardless of abilities, race, gender or origin. To achieve this, we call on the governments of the G7 member states, to listen to the voices of the world’s children in order to create specific policies for children based on the recommendations below.

In Japan, the accident at the Tokyo Electric Power Company's Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant has threatened the survival and development of children. To protect children from food contamination and worsening living environment, we call on the Japanese government to implement appropriate policies.

1. We call for advocacy and awareness-raising regarding children’s rights.
   (1) Opportunities must be provided for children themselves to learn about children’s rights.
   (2) Opportunities must be provided for all adults to understand about children’s rights.

2. We call for guarantee of children’s opportunities to participate in society.
   (1) Children are members of society and thus must be considered as partners in decision-making.
   (2) Ensure children have opportunities to express their opinions in all fields.
   (3) Educate human resources capable of listening and understanding toddlers’ and children’s voices and ensure such professionals have a role as facilitators and advocates for children.
   (4) Make it mandatory to listen to children’s opinions on policies and plans regarding children.
   (5) Listen to deprived children, especially those facing difficulties, and reflect their voices in policy making.
   (6) Support activities carried out by children themselves.
   (7) Train supporters to promote proactive participation by children
   (8) Listen to children’s voices during emergencies and disasters and guarantee their participation.

3. We call for improvement in children’s physical and social living environments including the following elements.
   (1) Safe environments where children can move around freely.
   (2) Parks and facilities where children can play actively.
   (3) Areas close to home where children can interact with nature.
   (4) Appropriate sexual education teaching children how to protect themselves and others, as well as to appreciate the value of life.
   (5) Food education for all ages, rooted in awareness that food is a basic element for children’s healthy spiritual and physical development.
   (6) A society which lets us appreciate the blessings of nature and our own country’s cultures while building rich relations with others.
   (7) Respect for each child’s unique personality as a basis for self-affirmation.
   (8) School system must be reformed so that schools become part of the social welfare system.
4. We call for guarantee of children’s rights to play
   (1) Playing is an intrinsic desire of children and must be acknowledged as an essential right to life.
   (2) The act of playing serves an important role for the development of the brain, development of
       social nature and creativity, imagination, self-confidence and self-worth, strong body and mind, and
       the dexterity of the body. Therefore, right to play must be included in child policies.
   (3) Make policies to regulate the use of expensive games and toys, high-tech and commercialized
       leisure since these activities inhibit children’s proactive play.
   (4) Distinguish between adult-organized play for educational purposes and children’s spontaneous
       play. Provide all adults involved with children opportunities to learn about children’s play.
   (5) Improve environments for children to play (both indoor and outdoor venues, enough time, and
       people to watch children, etc. must be secured.)
   (6) Train and deploy play-workers capable of organizing environments for children to play freely.
   (7) For children, playing promotes psychological healing. Therefore, children's right to play must
       be guaranteed even at times of disaster and conflict.
   (8) Recognize General Comment 17 of the UN Committee on the Rights of the Child* in legal and
       policy matters and take measures to improve the situation.

* General comment related to Article 31 of the Rights of the Child, which stipulates the rights to
   rest and leisure, to engage in age-appropriate play and recreational activities, and to participate
   freely in cultural life and the arts.

5. Prevent all types of violence against children
   (1) Prohibit abuse, corporal punishment, and psychological violence.
   (2) Implement laws that protect children from all types of violence.
   (3) Prohibit dangerous forms of child labor.
   (4) Develop and promote non-violent methods of child rearing and discipline.
   (5) Prevent inappropriate child rearing practices, and educate and support parents and carers so
       they can rear children appropriately.
   (6) Support and strengthen local networks for child protection.
   (7) Implement education against violence to raise awareness that violence is a violation of human
       rights.

6. Elimination of poverty and income disparity among children
   (1) Make all educational expenses free of charge to the family, and ensure equal access to
       education from pre-school age.
   (2) Ensure adequate budgets for children’s health and social welfare.
   (3) Each country must conduct baseline surveys and set targets for reducing poverty.
   (4) Create safe nurturing environments where children are praised, needed and appreciated,
       especially for children with low self-esteem due to social isolation and marginalization.
   (5) Eliminate child prostitution, child pornography and JK(Joshi Kosei = high school girls)
       Business
   (6) Educate the general public and train personnel to support children in poverty.
   (7) Provide seamless support for children in poverty, from pregnancy.
   (8) In Japan, since single legal custody is a factor in child poverty in single parent families, achieve
       shared parental custody and child rearing.

7. Eliminate violations of the children's rights by nuclear power plants.
(1) Nuclear power plants violate children’s rights. Therefore, do not build new nuclear power plants, do not recommence operation at existing plants, and stop operations at active plants.

(2) Ensure education to obtain accurate information about radioactivity.

(3) In Japan, recognize the right to relocate to escape high levels of radiation, and take concrete steps to implement the Law to Support Child Victims of Disaster (2012), to ensure that children can live in safe environments.

(4) In Japan, set radiation safety standards for children, as they are particularly susceptible to effects of radiation.

(5) In Japan, with a clear recognition of the health risks from the accident at the Fukushima Number One Nuclear Power Station, implement ongoing health surveys, and ensure support for victims’ health in the future.
Children
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To the Leaders and People of G7 Member States:  
Proposals for Pursuit of Genuine Prosperity through River Basin Thinking

We the undersigned are members of citizens’ groups in the Tokai area, host to the Ise-Shima Summit. Despite our various standpoints, we have united to advocate for the “Ise Bay Initiative,” aiming to realize a sustainable and productive future based on river basin (bio-regional) thinking. Presenting the following experience and good practices, we propose to the leaders and the people of G7 member states to share the idea of Ise Bay Initiative and work together for a better future.

“River basin thinking” means viewing the entire area of rivers flowing into the Ise Bay as one bio-region, and addressing issues within that region not on a reactive piecemeal basis but with the vision of integrated local development to enrichen the ecological value of the entire river basin area.

Ise Bay basin communities have kept ideal relationship with nature and maintained their livelihood by utilizing the natural resources in co-existence with abundant forests, large and fertile plains, and rich and clear rivers like Kiso, Nagara, and Ibi rivers.

However, as seen in the example of Yokkaichi Air Pollution which occurred after the post-war high economic growth period, air and water pollution that contaminated air and waters in the area severely affected human health and damaged fishery resources and as the result threatened people’s livelihoods. The pollution caused by Yokkaichi Petrochemical Complex built in northwest coast of Ise Bay deprived many people of their right to a healthy and safe living environment. In 1972, after years of campaigning to relevant authorities with the support of various groups, , the victims won in the Yokkaichi Air Pollution Lawsuit, and achieving a large stride to overcome the pollution problem.

It is essential to hand down the history and experiences of Yokkaichi Air Pollution to the next generation, to work on the future environmental improvement, and to create cities where environmental preservation and industrial development are entirely compatible. In order to actualize those, the Tokai area has a heavy responsibility to introduce the information and technologies both nationwide and worldwide on the bases of ‘no more environmental destruction’ spirit gained from its experience.

Many current issues are related to the degradation of the river basin environment: population decrease and rapidly aging society, urbanization caused by excessive development, population concentration in urban areas, depopulation in mountainous regions, and development of artificial tideland along coasts, weakened relationship between nature and human livelihoods.
and abandoned/deteriorated natural resources.

Another problem is floating debris washed ashore on the coasts of Ise Bay. Apparently 12,000 tons of floating debris per year flows into rivers in the basin. Half of it is washed ashore on the coasts in Toba city, one of top fishing spots symbolizing the prosperous Ise Bay basin, and a quarter on Nasanohama beach in Toshi Island. The debris badly affects the fishing places.

Since around 2000, a large-scale cleanup project and other activities mainly led by NPOs have been carried out with administrative organs, corporations, and citizens along upper, mid, and lower streams in the Ise Bay basin areas. These activities are needed to continue and spread wider.

In 2012, ‘The 22nd Century Nasanohama Project’ was advocated by environmental groups in Mie prefecture in cooperation with the citizens and other environmental groups in Ise Bay basin areas in Gifu and Aichi prefectures to retrieve the rich Ise Bay by protecting environment and natural resources. The environmental preservation groups of forest maintenance, community development, rivers and seashores, as well as citizens, corporations, students, youths, children, administrative organs, and so on, enthusiastically participate in the activities regardless of their positions.

The debris problem cannot be solved simply by ‘picking up trash’. For its solution, the efforts by civic society are indispensable to re-establish an ideal relationship between the nature and human livings and the lifestyle enjoying ‘genuine wealth’ accumulated by utilizing natural resources and blessings from nature, as we used to have in the past.

These projects are applicable as the methods to achieve many of SDGs, Sustainable Development Goals. Therefore, we introduce them to international societies as ‘Ise Bay Initiative’ and make proposals for specific activities.

**Ten Proposals for Implementing the Principles of the Ise Bay Initiative**

1. Recognizing that under global environmental issues there lie the present problems such as scrambles for natural resources and separation or thinned relationship between nature and human living caused by respective region’s social/economic background, each G7 member nation should try to take the initiative to improve conditions for sharing knowledge.

2. Each G7 member nation must establish ways to utilize natural resources without degrading them, based on the bio-region unit beyond administrative boundaries, with appreciation for each natural environment, cultural diversity based on each natural environment, and using the unique traditional wisdom of citizens in each local community.

3. While the bio-region is generally determined by natural environment in each region/country, a river basin is a typical unit of bioregion in Japan. It is important for each nation to fully understand its national land and regional climates so that it can grasp how bioregions are determined. The G7 member states should initiate understanding and researches as to their own bioregions.

4. Under the developments in ‘bioregions’ all over the world, economic development was given the highest priority and human rights and dignity of life were neglected, as seen in the example of Yokkaichi Air Pollution. In order to prevent recurrence of such a history in the countries in process of economic development, working out a policy about regional development is required. The G7 member states should try to appeal to the world so that drafting and decision process of the policy are made through the conversation with civic societies and other stakeholders in the bioregions.
5. In the Ise Bay bio-region, representatives from the upper, mid, and lower stream subregions and with neighbouring basin areas have actively exchanged ideas to create sustainable local communities. Also, ‘22nd Century Nasanohama Project’ was introduced as an excellent example at UNESCO World Conference on Education for Sustainable Development held in Nagoya city, Aichi prefecture, in 2014. The G7 member states should put effort on collecting, sharing, and visualizing these kinds of examples for promotion of the SDGs adopted by United Nations.

6. Tokai area, where Ise-Shima Summit is held, had 10th Conference of the Parties to the Convention on Biological Diversity (COP10) in 2010 and adopted ‘Aichi Target’ and ‘Nagoya Protocol.’ The G7 member states should make their best political efforts for actualization of the target and protocol and for their farther development by reconfirming the meanings of them.

7. The Tokai area, where Ise-Shima Summit is held, cross-prefecturally promotes environmental preservation activities using the concept of the bioregion which shares Ise and Mikawa Bays. The G7 member states should share the results of those activities and put efforts in spreading similar kind of activities in each nation.

8. To raise youths who succeed forming of sustainable regional communities is the most important theme in achievement of SDGs. For the actualization of ‘Aichi-Nagoya Declaration,’ the outcome document of the UNESCO World Conference on ESD held in 2014, the G7 member states should mind, understand, and make economic support for the ESD activities and international youth exchanges regardless of the level of development.

9. The G7 member states should make efforts to play each supplemental role to support local environmental protection activities by reconfirming the principle that the citizens, who are familiar to natural environment in each local region, are the subject to solve the environmental problems as a regional group in the global society.

10. We request the G7 member states to promptly deal with the man-made problems above all environmental issues in regional areas.

Natural resources are demolished and plundered in local areas all over the world, and the societies in those areas suffer a loss from the serious situation. The G7 member states should diligently establish and propose the new methodology for effective utilization and preservation of regional natural resources, regardless of the level of development, to prevent the deterioration of the situation.
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Policy Brief for G7
Japan Youth Platform for Sustainability

Japan Youth Platform for Sustainability (JYPS) is a platform for young people and organisations based in Japan to engage in the UN and other intergovernmental systems. The process of developing this position paper was open, inclusive, and grounded on democracy. JYPS hosted the G7 Youth Summit on 22nd May 2016 supported by the Japan Civil Society Platform on 2016 G7 Ise-Shima Summit, GCAP Japan, and Mie University. The adopted 2016 G7 youth summit declaration has two pillars: G7 Analysis for reviewing the past eight years of Communique, and Working Reports in regard to youth and 10 thematic issues and priorities on G7 and meetings.

I. G7 Analysis
We would like to emphasise that the way G7 addresses youth falls short in effectively doing so by missing the meaningful engagement of young people at all stages of policy processes from designing, implementation, monitoring, and reviewing. We call on G7 countries to adopt the Major Groups as the institutionalised mechanism for stakeholders to partake in G7 summits.

II. Thematic Issues

Child Health Care
- All policies related to children should set full realisation of the Convention on the Rights of Children (CRC) as a goal.
- Within the health related aid, Child Health Care should be prioritised within Universal Health Coverage. It is essential to provide quantitative and qualitative aids for strong nursery institutions protecting the rights of children. Such provisions can be a part of promoting quality infrastructure.
- The aid for Child Health Care should set higher standard than WHO requirements.

Environment, Climate Change and Biodiversity
- G7 countries should commit to promote energy efficiency, phasing out fossil fuels and nuclear power, and achieve the 100% renewable energy society as soon as possible (or by 2050).
- Introduce Ecological Tax Reform, pricing the social and environmental negative externalities, to discourage the economic activities which impede sustainability. This will create new liquidity for financing and enable the environment to actually reach SDGs and Paris Agreement goals.
- Address the safety in the process of Reactor decommissioning, which has been neglected.
- The importance of technology to promulgate renewable energies is missing in the outcome of the G7 Energy meeting in Kitakyushu. This should be mentioned.

Partnership (PPP, Multi-stakeholder mechanism)
- Institutionalise the multi-stakeholder participation at all level and stages of the policy forming process. We recommend to use the Major Groups system.
- Public Private Partnership should be held accountable and transparent. All partnership should be scrutinised to measure its social, environmental, and economic impacts and community based implications.
Education for Sustainable Development
- In acknowledgement that education plays an indispensable role in the pursuance and creation of a sustainable and peaceful society, it is recommended that all G7 countries advocate and mainstream Education for Sustainable Development (ESD) at all levels.
- The process of Implementation, Monitoring, and Follow-up and Review should respect the autonomy of each institution. This requires the reform of evaluation system of teachers and schools.
- It is necessary to build the ESD platform for all sectors to engage, so that learners have opportunities to study on from variety backgrounds.

Food Security and Hunger
- Address zero hunger which builds upon structural countermeasure grounded on long-term implementation
- Promote food security and sustainable and responsible supply chain by strongly committing to actions against malnutrition through Nutrition for Growth and governance of tenure for land, fisheries, and forests.

Syrian Crisis
- Protect the asylum seekers from Syria in international standard and to expand eligibility to apply for Refugee resettlements.

Youth Participation
- G7 should host a “G7 youth and children summit” as an official part of the summit, and its outcome shall be fed in outcome of G7 summit. It is critical to ensure the administrative and budgetary support for G7 children and youth summit.
- Promote the youth engagement in decision-making process. For example, local youth parliament can be one of ideas. Such initiatives are actually implemented in European countries.

Peace
- It is essential to ensure the freedom of movement of people, knowledges, and ideas, especially among young people. This should be further promoted by expanding exchange programmes publically financed.
- In reconciliation process, there must be safe, inclusive spaces for marginalised people to actually voice their needs.

Regional Inequality
- Ensure the regional sustainability in objectives of development aid which ultimately aims to enable local ecological areas can sustain without excessive dependency on and influence of international trade. This can be operationalised through the “locally produced and consumed”, ethical products, fair trade, and among others.
- Reduce inequalities among and within cities and between urban and rural areas to achieve the social cohesion and equitable society. It is necessary to transfer fiscal, financial, and administrative capacity to local authorities. This will change the paradigm of urban-rural dichotomy and enhance the Integrated Territorial Development..

Disaster Risk Reduction
- Reflecting the Sendai Framework, the G7 should also allocate adequate commitments to human-induced disasters in addition to natural ones, including pollution.
- Building Infrastructure should go through the assessment in terms of three dimensions of sustainable development. It should not undermine the local biodiversity.
- Enabling environment means that local authorities and young people can collaborate, ensuring the ownership of both activities. It is necessary to set the guidance for governments on how to create multi-stakeholder participation in its recovery.

Japan Youth Platform for Sustainability
japanyouthplatform@gmail.com / http://japanyouthplatform.wix.com/jyps
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Civil Society calls on G7 countries to reduce health disparities through promoting “Health in All” Policies

Introduction

We, the undersigned representatives of civil society, are concerned at how marginalized people in a broad range of developing countries have been negatively affected by economic globalization and economic development.

Here we outline a case encountered through our support for health among a Dalit (outcaste) community in Southern India living close to the recently developed Special Economic Zone (SEZ). While contributing to national economic growth, this industrial development has aggravated hardships faced by the Dalit people.

We also examine Japan’s historical experience of the citizen’s movement against Yokkaichi Pollution, to identify lessons for addressing current threats to health, particularly in developing countries. At the same time, we consider appropriate and effective roles for NGOs in promoting health of marginalized groups in developing countries.

Tamil Nadu Special Economic Zone (SEZ) degrades Dalit farmland and forest

The SEZ in Tamil Nadu was commenced in 2007 under supervision of the State Industries Promotion Corporation of Tamil Nadu (SIPCOT). The SEZ was sited near to a pre-existing Dalit community and their formerly rich natural forest. The forest has been managed for over 200 years by the Dalits as a common resource providing traditional medicines, grazing for goats and cattle, and ground water for agriculture. However, since development of the SEZ, the common forest has been destroyed, the ground water which used to naturally irrigate Dalit fields has been blocked by a wide road, and there are no more medicinal plants.

Since 2008, a year after the start of the SEZ, a French corporation has been operating a factory in the Zone. With the support of local and national NGOs as well as academics, the Dalit community filed a case against SIPCOT at the high court of Madras, demanding the factory stop operations. With the help of French experts,
they also filed a case against the French corporation in a French court. However, the Dalits lost both cases.

Through its health and community development work, a Japanese NGO, the Asian Health Institute (AHI), has a longstanding relationship with the area, and has conducted three study tours there since 2013. Over the past three years, AHI staff members have conducted dialogue and hearings on the SEZ issue with local residents, people’s organizations, and local NGOs. AHI verified the community’s claims regarding degradation of their livelihood and living environment – basic determinants of health – by field visits to the affected area.

**Call for global action**

In its 1946 Constitution, the World Health Organization (WHO) declared:

“Health is a state of complete physical, mental and social well-being and not merely the absence of disease or infirmity. The enjoyment of the highest attainable standard of health is one of the fundamental rights of every human being without distinction of race, religion, political belief, economic or social condition.”

Further, in 1978, the Alma-Ata Declaration adopted by the World Health Assembly, hosted by WHO and UNICEF, and signed by many countries and international agencies, set the goal of “health for all the people of the world by the year 2000.” In order to achieve the goal, it emphasized community participation and basic health services such as immunization.

From that time on, national governments, international agencies and civil society organizations have taken many steps to promote health for all. However, while many improvements have been achieved, more new threats have appeared. In the shadow of economic development, we are seeing negative impacts on people’s health around the world.

In 2008, the final report of WHO’s Commission on Social Determinants of Health again confirmed that an individual’s health is determined by his/her social
conditions, and called for further efforts to “close the gap,” ensuring health equity. In order to close the gap, we must address the gross inequities of power and resources between and within countries and communities. Economic development too must contribute to closing rather than widening the gaps in health.

During the mid 1950s, the period of rapid economic growth in Japan, industrialization affected citizens’ lives and health nationwide. New words such as kogai (pollution) were coined. In Yokkaichi, the petrochemical industry, promoted by national economic policy and actively supported by the city government, polluted seawater. The local fishery industry was badly affected. Before long, the industry further polluted the air, with severe health consequences for Yokkaichi residents.

As in the case of Yokkaichi petrochemical industry, economic development is often based on inequitable distribution of power, resources and capital. When this happens, economic development is achieved at the cost of negative effects on the lives and health of groups who are already marginalized in their society. This is also the case for the Dalit community affected by Special Economic Zone in South India.

In Yokkaichi, citizens affected by pollution worked together to speak out for their right to a healthy living environment, supported by a network of social activists, academics and others, demanding relief for the victims and regulation of polluting industries. The movement managed to call the polluting corporations to account and achieve government regulations to reduce pollution in Japan. In recent years, in discussion of “Business and Human Rights” at the United Nations and other fora, various actors have pointed out the social responsibilities of multi-national corporations, and demanded stronger measures to ensure they are accountable, under the rubric of human rights due diligence.

Health is one of the significant results of social and economic development. Achieving Health for All entails addressing social inequity, and calls for Health in All – cooperation among actors in all sectors, including trade and industry.

We therefore call on the G7 governments to take the following actions:

- Reduce health disparities through promoting Health in All Policies, emphasizing that government objectives are best achieved when all sectors
include health and well-being as a key component of policy development.

- Especially in developing countries, address health disparities through promoting Health in All Policies, including ensuring that multinational corporations originating in G7 countries implement human rights due diligence.
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Citizens’ Ise-Shima Summit

Recommendation from the sectional meeting for climate change, biodiversity and disaster prevention

The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, adopted in September 2015, names climate change, loss of biodiversity, and worsening risk of disasters as current key global issues. At the Ise·Shima Summit, the first G7 summit since the adoption of the Agenda 2030, it is crucial to recognize that the G7 nations continue to place a heavy burden on the global environment to gain huge wealth. Based on this recognition, we call on the leaders of the G7 nations to disseminate a clear, ambitious, and responsible message for natural and environmental sustainability so that every human being on earth, including future generations, may live with security and dignity.

Climate change, biodiversity, and disaster prevention are individually important global issues, and they are also closely interrelated. If climate change becomes drastic, habitats will change, raising the risk of species extinction. This would make climate-related disasters even more devastating. Losing biodiversity means damaging the ecosystem’s capacity to absorb carbon dioxide, an element that triggers climate change. Further, the natural environment’s ability to mitigate risk of disaster would also be compromised. Frequent serious disasters would inhibit measures against climate change, and the natural ecosystem would be exposed to a higher risk with damage exceeding its capacity to recover.

On the other hand, if we work on these three issues we can contribute to protecting the poor from various risks and rectifying injustice, and enable us to hand over abundant natural environment and resources to future generations. In recent years, the international community has concluded various treaties to protect the environment, including the Paris Agreement on Climate Change, the Aichi Biodiversity Target and the Nagoya Protocol, and the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Prevention. It is now time to put them into practice. To ensure that efforts in one sector complement rather than compromise efforts in other sectors calls for broad cross-sectoral collaboration.
With the above issues in mind, the sectional meeting for climate change, biodiversity, and disaster prevention makes the following recommendations to the G7 nations assembled at the Ise-Shima Summit.

**Climate change**
- An intention to form a flow of funds that matches with the “2 degrees Celsius target” in relation to the global average temperature increase since the pre-industrial revolution must be indicated. Rather than high-risk, concentrated and large-scale energy production based on fossil fuel and nuclear power, funds must be invested in small-scale decentralized and local energy production based on renewable sources.
- With regard to the quality infrastructure investment, make sure that it follows the “2 degrees Celsius target”. In addition, coal power plant must be eliminated from the discussion. Coal power plants discharge immense amounts of carbon dioxide, sulfur oxide, nitrogen oxide, PM2.5, mercury and other pollutants. Even if a highly efficient and low-emission technology were used, it is clear that it would not meet the “2°C target”.
- In order to achieve the Paris Agreement’s target of zero greenhouse gas emissions by the second half of the century, we must improve energy efficiency, end reliance on nuclear power and fossil fuels, and shift to 100% renewable energy sources. To avoid compromising biodiversity and raising risk of disasters through large-scale operations, we must shift to a consensus-based process of promoting locally led small-scale energy initiatives.

**Biodiversity**
- In mitigating climate change and adapting to it, and upon disaster prevention and mitigation, the “Ecosystem Based Approach” which effectiveness is internationally acknowledged must be proactively adopted. This is a focal point for achieving a high quality infrastructure.
- Within the context of climate change, energy, and quality infrastructure investment, full consideration must be given to the local society and ecosystem regarding the impact caused by energy and infrastructure projects. Expected risks must be explained to the residents, and a structure that includes participatory agreement process, environmental assessment, monitoring after construction, risk responses, and information disclosure must be built. It is necessary to think for whom the infrastructure investment would be, from a long-term perspective.
**Disaster prevention and mitigation**

- In a discussion for high quality infrastructure development, citizens’ participation and agreement must be respected, and sufficient care that responds to various local needs must be provided to develop infrastructure. To achieve sustainable development and raise local resilience and reconstruction, we must find ways to make full use of the local climate, culture, lifestyle, and identity.

- The standard concept of disaster response must be “disaster mitigation”. The “green infrastructure” which utilizes the natural ecosystem functions must be actively adopted to carry out infrastructure development which takes selective and complex use of national property into account. Within the context of quality infrastructure investment, these are the points which must be deeply focused on.
Climate change, biodiversity and disaster prevention
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- NPO. Japan Xeriscape Desing Association. Takamatsu.
- Africa Japan Forum
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Statement by Civil Society Organizations from the Ise Shima Region on Regional Disparity

To the assembled leaders of the Group of Seven (G7):

We, representatives of civil society in the region hosting the G7 Ise Shima Summit, are deeply aware of connections between global and local issues. We hereby state our position as adopted at the Ise Shima Civil Society Summit on 24 May 2016, and call on the assembled leaders to act urgently to rectify current international and domestic regional disparities.

Rapid Implementation of Policies to Rectify Regional Disparities

1. Situation of Rural and Urban Regions in Japan

In agricultural, fishing, and forestry regions of Japan, many villages are facing the threat of extinction. In Japan, primary industries can no longer thrive. Consumers now demand low price, efficiency, and economy, and that demand is met by products from overseas. Farming, forestry and fishing industries have further declined as few young people take up these professions, instead moving to urban areas in search of employment. In terms of Japan’s river basin geography, the upland level has become degraded, and outlying villages are on the verge of dying out. As a result, upland forests have lost their capacity for watershed cultivation and flood prevention, causing flooding and other negative effects on life in the mid and lower levels and altering river delta ecosystems.

Meanwhile, due to over-industrialization, urban environments are being polluted and destroyed. Urban communities are disintegrating; many urban dwellers do not know what their neighbors look like. Further, urbanites suffer from the stress of overwork and lack of communication. Further, as the urban employment structure has become fluid and insecure, poverty and inequality have intensified.

2. Regional Disparity on a Global Scale

Most industrialized countries, including Japan, import overseas resources for low cost and efficiency. In particular, they depend on resources from developing countries. This dependence, and the current
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trends of foreign investment to maintain cheap overseas supply, negatively impacts developing countries. Labor migrants in developing countries face low wages and severe working conditions, and buyers control the markets, keeping product prices low, and maintaining global inequality. Finances from ODA (our tax money) and private investment indirectly support the global economic system, and further aggravate these North-South problems. Meanwhile, in industrialized countries, as in Japan, primary industries are in decline, leaving many people jobless.

Thus, these serious problems mutually link and connect urban and rural areas, and developed and developing nations.

3. Causes and Solutions

Regional disparities arise when one side decides the rules of interaction and imposes them on the other side. In pursuit of the low cost, efficiency, and economy demanded by urban and developed country markets, the resources of rural areas and developing countries are overexploited. At the same time, the residents of urban areas and developed countries are also exhausted by their untenable lifestyle.

To change this negative cycle, we need to change the exploitative structure of excessive mutual dependence and reestablish an appropriate level of interdependence and regional autonomy. Each region has its own resources. The citizens of each region must build sustainable societies using the local resources of their own region. In Japan’s case, this means establishing a sustainable eco-social system for each river basin area. Each level of the river basin system has its own resources; circulating those resources within the river basin area would facilitate sustainable and peaceful regional development without external plundering.

Various sustainable grassroots alternatives are gaining popularity: fair finance, fair trade, ethical production and local consumption all offer ways to prevent social and ecological problems. This trend towards conscious consumption supports efforts to redress regional disparities.

4. From the Perspective of the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

The 10th SDG is to “Reduce inequality within and among countries.” As this goal implies, the issue of global disparities must be addressed at the level of the society as a whole. Poverty and hunger are concentrated in developing countries. However, regional disparities of education and gender equality: partly due to conventional customs and beliefs, some children cannot attend school, and
there are few female elected officials in many regions. Local autonomy is necessary to address such non-economic regional disparities too.

5. What We Can Do (Citizens’ Declaration)

Based on the above understanding of current regional disparities, we representatives of civil society in the Ise Shima region, pledge to undertake the following actions:

i. Support development with concern for the pre-existing ecologically sound economy of each region;

ii. Live with a conscious vision of how our lifestyles affect resources, and to raise our voices in managing local resources, supplemented by resources from other regions;

iii. Build autonomous regions, so that all people wherever they are born and raised can live in peace and true wealth, without victimizing others through exploitative structures.

6. Call to Action to Leaders of the G7 Nations

We call on all governments of the G7 nations, and particularly the government of the host nation, Japan, to take the following steps to achieve the vision of the citizens’ declaration.

i. Reconsider and avoid hasty ratification of multilateral trade treaties such as the TTP, TTIP, and TiSA that promote further economic globalization.

ii. Rectify the problems of overseas investment, promote fair finance and work towards introducing a global solidarity tax.

iii. Address problems stemming from current north-south inequalities, adopt policies to effectively promote sustainable local economies and fair trade between local economies.

iv. Raising energy self-sufficiency on a river basin (or similar area) level would reduce wasteful production and consumption of energy, reduce the environmental burden, and promote both local employment and effective use of local resources. To achieve these goals, set energy policies that plan withdrawal from nuclear and fossil fuels and a shift to local production and
consumption.

v. To achieve local and regional self-reliance, plan for locally-based governance and education. Change the structure of local government administration to allow it to fully reflect the will of local citizens. In the field of education, revamp schooling and school curricula to promote human resources for the local region, and build a system that encourages the direct participation of community members.

vi. Address gender imbalances on a local level, according to the local situation. In particular, promote women’s equal access to paid work and end gender-based discrimination. Also take steps to ensure women’s political participation, such as by establishing a quota for women’s seats in local government.
Regional Disparity
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Entire declaration of the “Tokai Global Summit”

The Tokai Global Summit was held on Saturday May 21 with the initiative of students. Four sectional meetings were organized under the theme of environment, refugees, education, and health and sanitation, so that participants could discuss about their future in 2050. Today, we would like to make a report of the event. Let us start by sharing the findings from each sectional meeting.

Environment

Our society is surrounded by the natural environment, and therefore exists by receiving various ecosystem services. For this reason, we are responsible for making a payment for the ecosystem services. We, the youth who will assume the future, must be aware that we benefit from the ecosystem services in creating a relationship between the environment and society, and thus are committed to communicate this fact to others toward 2050. In addition, we commit ourselves to take actions for implementing an environment tax system. As for the tax system, we recommend two types of tax: tax to be imposed on the private sector for giving burden to the environment, and the “Furusato hometown environment tax”. This hometown environment tax is a type of tax which the citizens pay for environmental preservation of a certain region. In return, citizens will be entitled to receive environmentally sound products or an opportunity for green tourism. As part of the activities to promote the tax system suggested earlier, we will carry out a campaign for collecting signatures of individuals and private companies, organize marches and events to raise awareness, and use media and SNS to further disseminate the information.

Refugees

With regard to refugee issues in 2050, we hereby declare to realize the principle of “creating a society in which refugees can be self-sustaining and sustainably co-live with others.” One-way aid, which is the standard way of assistance in modern society, has limitations in various fields as well as in financial aspects. When thinking of the future, support that we provide must be sustainable. In other words, we believe
that it is important to acknowledge refugees’ rights, enable them to be self-sustaining, and build a society in which people with diverse background can co-exist equally.

Especially in Japan where low birth rate and rapid aging is observed, when we look upon the future in 2050, it is essential to make use of the third country resettlement system and willingly accept refugees as an important labor force. It is also significant to provide education on cultural competence both to refugees and long term residents in Japan to promote understanding and acceptance of different cultures. By doing so, we will be able to pursue a society that acknowledges the potential skills of each refugee.

It is also crucial to establish a new local society which benefits from knowledge to support refugees and to live together.

**Education**

The “power to live” which is a requisite for the future generation in 2050 is to “be able to question oneself and derive an answer on one’s own”. In response to this, the present generation is being taught of things without an answer. To provide education that helps people create values, and enable them to make judgements based on their own experience rather than being affected by information are the type of human-like skills which is called for today.

In order to develop such skills, we would like the education provided in 2050 to be like the following. First, “learning through actual experience”. An education that allows people to actually experience it and learn through thinking. This is a type of education which is being practiced today. There is no need to change something. We must keep on providing this type of education. During the sectional meeting, we agreed that the future generation will have an opportunity to be exposed to even more information from childhood, therefore we will be one of the last generations who know about the importance of experience. That is why we must convey this message. Second, “education to foster motivation”. Children must be motivated to accumulate experience. And this must be examined as an educational curriculum as a whole. This means that “education to foster imaginative skills” will be required. Children themselves must think and imagine their future. Otherwise an attitude to learn from experience will not be created.

This is what we would like to propose as our final recommendation from this sectional meeting.
Health and sanitation

Through discussions in this sectional meeting, we agreed on the necessity to fear infectious diseases with proper knowledge.

Thinking of 2050, no change is required in what we should do today and in the future in a place like Japan where we have a developed public health.

However, if we look at overseas, aid must be provided to countries which need infrastructure development so that infectious disease will be prevented in advance.

Further, even in a social environment like in Japan, cities and rural area are bipolarizing. There might be places out of the national government’s reach. Because of globalization, our country will not be a place just for Japanese citizens.

Infectious disease which did not exist in Japan in the past, or disease that was once eradicated in the country may come into the country.

There are three levels to tackle this risk. First is the citizen level. It is important that, rather than the state, each person has awareness on epidemic prevention. This is because awareness will be raised by citizens.

Second is the national level. The national government will secure budget for prevention, and the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology will take initiative in increasing physical education classes for the nation to enhance physical strength. Meanwhile, more people will die from bacteria attributable to drug resistance. The government will have to take measures to prevent this.

Third is the international level. The international society will take aggressive measures in developing the health and sanitation infrastructure including water and sewage systems and healthcare education in developing countries. These three levels will be the main axis to prevent the tragedy of pandemic.

We understand that, for participants who have gathered, life in 2050 is something hard to imagine. Yet, we believe that discussions in the sectional meeting have enabled people to think of what awaits us in the future and how that will relate to ourselves.

Participants’ age ranged from 16 to 24. We are confident that the talks we carried out to think about the future from the “youth” perspective and sharing it to the world has turned into a big step ahead.

We anticipate that the world in 2050 faces drastic change in its social situation
and would be completely different from now. The GDP forecast of each country, climate change, terrorism, ideal education, new virus, resources, refugees, and more. In each issue, things that exceed our expectation might be happening. We will be questioned what kind of actions to take if something unexpected happens.

What do we desire in the future, and what kind of future do we want to hand over to the younger generation? We will have to think of that and keep on progressing.

To make sure that the world in 2050 will be an ideal society when we, the youth, will be the generation to take initiatives, we must continue to work on activities that lead to our target, and keep on learning. We hereby commit ourselves to take action from today in relation to what we have decided to do in the sectional meetings.
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- Tokai Global Summit
- Sento·Tarui
- Yosinori Usami
- Jinin Oroka
Alternative Summit “The Citizens’ Ise-Shima Summit”
Civil society recommendations to G7 leaders on achievement of SDGs

SDGs Civil Society Network, May 2016

“Transforming our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development”, which was unanimously adopted by all member states of the United Nations in September 2015, is an epoch-making document with 17 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and shows us a path in which our world should follow for the next 15 years.

We, a Japanese civil society network who are fully engaged in implementation of SDGs, make the following recommendations to G7 leaders at the Citizens’ Ise-Shima Summit held in May 23 and 24, 2016.

Background

✓ The SDGs should be achieved by 2030. We have to act immediately.
✓ All developed countries including G7 need to achieve the SDGs, while how to implement is left to each country. Therefore, all countries need to establish their own implementation mechanism.
✓ Considering the widen inequality, it is critical how to implement the principle of the 2030 Agenda: “No one left behind”.
✓ Implementation of SDGs should tackle with three dimensions of sustainable development - economic, social and environmental - in a comprehensive and balanced way. It should also cope with national issues as well as international development cooperation.

Recommendations to G7 leaders

✓ Develop a national implementation plan, and integrate the SDGs in existing other national plans, strategies, foreign policies and budgets.
✓ Place “leave no one behind” at the heart of all plans and strategies, and cope with issues in economic, social and environmental dimensions in a comprehensive way.
✓ Establish systems of accountability, such as reporting systems on progress in inclusive and participatory ways.
✓ (For Japanese government) Establish “2030 Agenda Implementation Headquarters”, a cross-ministerial systems for development of a national plan and implementation, within the government, have consultations in a fair way with various non-governmental actors, and ensure meaningful participation of civil society.
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Policy Proposal

To the G7 countries, please give your blessings to the movement of the international codification of the right to peace, which is discussed in the United Nations Human Rights Council.

We are from civil organizations whose goal is peace. As such, we fear for the effectiveness of the measures against the violation of wars and use of violence with regard to security in humankind as would be discussed in the G7 Ise-Shima Summit.

From the experiences of two world wars in the 20th century, there has been a large amount of movements outlawing wars and prohibiting the use of violence against humans. This is so even in the United Nations Charter, which in principle the threat or use of force is prohibited (Article 2 paragraph 4). As for the use of force, there is an allowance for the limited exercise of self-defense until measures stipulated by the United Nations are taken (Article 51). In addition, the approval of the Security Council is required to form the framework of international law.

However, in the Iraq war (2003-2011), the United States allowed the use of force without any approval from the Security Council, the reason given being that there were weapons of mass destruction, which did not exist. More than half a million people lost their lives in this war (from the investigation by the international team led by Amy Hagopian from the University of Washington). During the attacks on Fallujah in April and November 2004 by the Americans, white phosphorus munitions were used against civilians (RAI documentary program by Italian National Broadcast). There are also evidences of tortures and inhumane handling in the Abu Ghraib prison (internal investigation reports within the US army).

Moreover, in the Libya military intervention by NATO which lasted up to 10 months from March 2011, although it was based on a resolution of the Security Council which called for the protection of human life of the Libyan people, the British and French armies called for the resignation of the Libyan government. This casts doubts on the international laws. These attacks costs the lives of dozens of civilians (the NGO Human Rights Watch report). Rather than as a clear resolution towards Syria by volunteer countries, the United States has explained that this is based on the exercise of the right of collective self-defense and the United Nations Charter Article 51 of the individual’s right to self-defense. Due to the intervention in Syria together with volunteer countries, the fighting intensified, and any political
method of resolution was made complicated. It resulted in huge loss of lives and the creation of civilian refugees. More than 4 million refugees flowed into the neighboring countries of Syria while there are 7.6 million people who are internally displaced (reported by UNHCR · 2015 · 7)

In spite of a consensus within the international community on the prohibition of the use of violence, it is often the cause of wars, which results in war crimes and the sacrifices of human lives continue. The work of the human rights international codification of peace of the United Nations Human Rights Council is to continue to search for solutions for such crises. The issue of peace and war should not be regarded as problems among countries. Rather it should be viewed from the point of the people’s rights to peace. By codifying it in law, we can prevent the use of force. Countries may make wrong decisions on policies. As such, there must be measures to prevent this from happening.

The Constitution of Japan has admitted the mistake in decisions made by the government. In the preceding sentence, “we here do proclaim that the sovereign power resides with the people, to watch over the conduct of the government to not repeat the horrors of wars”, “We strive to maintain peace as well as eliminate autocracy and slavery, oppression and intolerance forever from the surface of the earth, as part of our bid to occupy an honored position in the international community. We, all peoples of the world, are free from fear and want to make sure that we have the right to live in peace.” The message of these sentences is to path the way to the rights towards peace.

In 2005, the Spain International Human Rights Law Association started the "International Campaign for the Rights for Peace." NGOs and experts in various parts of the world met in overlapping meetings and issued the "Santiago Declaration on Human Rights to Peace" in December 2010. This declaration presented specifically the people’s rights towards the realization of peace. With the Santiago Declaration as a base, the Advisory Committee of the Human Rights Council has created a draft declaration of the right to peace in April 2012. With a change of the Chairman of the Committee of Rights in 2013, there has been a recommendation on the consensus method. As such, the draft by the Chairman issued in June 2014 was put in the backseat and the advisory committee proposal took precedence. The wordings on the Rights of Peace vaporized on its own. The proposal by the advisory committee was opposed by the United States, EU, South Korea, and Japan. It seemed that the Chairman's draft of 2014 was issued in such circumstances.
The Summit supposed to be an event for sending a strong message toward peace, while the rights of peace codification could be a big step forward to the prohibition of the use of force. However many of the participating countries have adopted an opposite attitude to it. This is what we fear most. The work of the Human Rights Council will start from July 2016.

To the G7 countries, in order to move forward with pressing for the prohibition of the use of force resolution, please show your support to the international rights of peace codification. This is especially so for Japan as the host country, preamble to the Constitution as mentioned above, we the people have the peaceful right to live. Please raise this issue for discussion to demonstrate leadership in the Ise-Shima Summit. To the governments of the various countries, please take note of the following:

- Government of the G7 countries to recognize the “rights to peace” as a basic human rights.
- At the UN Human Rights Council Committee in July 2016, the UN General Assembly’s "Declaration on the preparation of society to live in peace" in 1978 and the United Nations General Assembly’s "Declaration on the people's right to peace" in 1984, the participating governments at the Summit supported the United Nations declaration of rights for peace in order to sustain development.
- Governments to discuss the deliberations in the foundation of the advisory committee proposed in 2012 at the United Nations Human Rights Council.
- Together with the prevention of war, governments from various countries can take measures to ensure the rights of peace of the people as a duty of the individual government in order to eliminate structural violence, such as poverty and discrimination.

April 2016

Anti-war Network
Peace Boat
Japan Peace Society Chubu · Hokuriku district
Institute
International Campaign for Rights for Peace ·
Japan Executive Committee
Japanese Lawyers International Solidarity
Peace Association
Nagoya Gakuin University Peace Studies
Association
Peace
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To the Leaders of the G7 Member States at the G7 Ise-Shima Summit: Call for Policy-making and Enhanced International Collaboration on Immigration and Refugee Issues

Current situation in the region hosting this Summit

The host country of the summit, Japan, is home to 2.23 million foreign residents hailing from 194 countries as of late 2015. Within Japan, the host region of the summit, Mie Prefecture and its neighboring prefectures (Aichi Prefecture, Gifu Prefecture and Shizuoka Prefecture), are home to 17% of these foreigners, totaling about 380,000 people. In terms of ratio of foreign residents to the total population, Aichi ranks second while Mie is in third position. As such, foreign residents have become an essential presence in the maintenance and development of local communities. Nevertheless, Japan is the only country among the G7 that does not have a defined and established immigration policy. In the MIPEX immigration policy evaluation of 38 countries, Japan came in 27th place, the lowest rank among the G7 states. The problems lie in many areas, from the language barrier to disparities in work environment, lack of understanding and bias against various religions, and wide gaps in school enrollment and participation in higher education between Japanese and foreign youth.

The need for global efforts

In recent years, while the surge in refugees in the Middle East region has been recognized as an urgent issue, there are still outstanding and unresolved refugee issues in regions like Tibet, Myanmar (Burma) and many other countries. About 20 million people have been forced to move beyond national borders due to conflicts and persecution. We therefore call on the G7 member states to adopt coordinated policies to reduce the number of refugees, and to serve as models to the rest of the world regarding refugee and immigration policy. The countries within the G7 – except for Japan – have in place relevant policies in the legal system (immigration laws, social integration, etc.) and these policies are subject to enforcement and review. However, in recent years, there has been a sharp increase in the number of people crossing international borders, with international migration reaching 2.3 billion people in 2013. Therefore, the need for international cooperation on migrants and refugees has become extremely urgent.
In view of the above mentioned, we the following civil society organizations appeal to the respective governments of the G7 member states, especially the host country Japan, to adopt the recommendations listed below rapidly and practicably.

Recommendation 1: Recognize and inform the public about immigrants and refugees within the society.
(1) It is the responsibility of governments to inform local residents accurately that refugees and immigrants are residing in and participating actively in community life.

Recommendation 2: Tackle the issue of immigration directly, and formulate policies on immigration
(1) Accept migrants as human beings with dignity rather than as a source of cheap labor.
(2) In particular, the Japanese government must share the same definition of ‘immigrants’ as the United Nations, and recognize “foreign technical trainees” as immigrants.

Recommendation 3: Proactively resolve refugee issues
(1) Proactively address refugee issues without limits on their country or region of origin
(2) Learn from precedents and past experiences of local and international communities
(3) Establish policies ensuring that while new migrants preserve their own ethnic identities, mother tongues and cultures, they also get used to the languages, cultures and customs of the region to which they migrate, and take a long-term view of how immigrants and refugees can contribute to the development of the receiving country

Recommendation 4: To address the issues of multicultural societies
(1) Guarantee the human rights of each individual, including migrants and refugees
(2) Establish laws regarding race, ethnicity and religions, all of which work towards the protection of the individuals
(3) Establish an outlook of cultural relativism
(4) Formulate and review policies that allow migrants and refugees to contribute to and grow attachment to the local community; differences in language and customs should not hinder their manifestation of abilities
(5) Political issues and foreign affairs should not threaten the safety and security of individuals who live in their own country
(6) Disseminate and share unbiased information, thinking beyond political interests
(7) Actively implement education for human rights and international understanding at schools and in the community
(8) Provide adequate opportunities for immigrants and refugees to learn the language and social knowledge of their new country
(7) Commit to the resolution of immigration and refugee issues on the basis of dialogue, and establish venues for such dialogue between the government and residents

In the face of rapid globalization, trans-border migration is likely to further intensify in future years. Especially in light of these global trends, we in civic society call on international society to collaborate to guarantee dignity and fundamental human rights for all.
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Appeal to the Leaders and the Peoples of the G7 member states:
Our views on Achieving the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) through Education for Sustainable Development (ESD), proposed by the sectional meeting for “Education”

Today’s global society faces diverse issues ranging from social matters such as war and terrorism, economic issues including disparity and poverty, and to environmental matters such as climate change and reduction of biodiversity. The bipolarised structure between the developed and developing countries will collapse, and we will no longer live in a place in which only the developed countries make decisions for the world. Even national policy systems are getting weaker in the event of decision making. Under the chaotic situation of the modern world in turmoil, the leaders and the peoples of the G7 member states, representing the developed world are expected to review the past achievements as well as the failures and to seek an appropriate way to establish a peaceful and rightful society by co-existing with natural environment in a view of bioregion, while establishing a new global economic system where people’s expectations can be fulfilled.

It happened to be the time when the international society reached an agreement for the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) in the 2015 UN General Assembly and started working to achieve the goals between 2016 and 2030. To this end, furtherance of Education for Sustainable Development (ESD) and its implementation will be crucially important.

We, therefore, a civic society in the Chubu region in Japan where the G7 Summit is held, are representing “industrialized regions” and put forward this appeal to the leaders and the peoples of the G7 member states, the “industrialized countries”. Our Appeal consists of the seven-point proposals that are stated hereunder to materialize better social orders by reviewing the roles of the states and international organizations along with the global economic system.

The seven-point proposals are aimed at materializing the following three objectives with more efforts into ESD promoted mainly on a regionally-led basis.

“Making things with the Mutsumi (joy to produce together common goods)”: Economic reconstruction with the joy and intimacy of producing things. (I, II)

“Human resources development based on the Kokorozashi (personal aspiration, consciousness and conscience)”: Cultivation of people with the ability to think critically, comprehensively, and creatively, and who can be generous to others. (III, IV)

“Building a future based on the Nagomi (peaceful reconciliation)”: Achieve a collaborative society which respects diversity of nature and culture. (V, VI, VII)

Seven recommendations to develop the ESD for “Mutsumi, Kokorozashi, and Nagomi”
I. Establish a global economic structure centered on equal and mutually-benefiting regional economy: The values of subsistence economy based on the “Mutsumi-ai” ideology developed in Japan, to establish a global economic structure which is mutually beneficial among all regions.

II. Examine about regional production and consumption based on each bioregion unit: Achieving a bioregion-based sustainable society requires knowledge about the limit of permissible reproduction of the natural resources in the region. Further, an ideal style of production and consumption in a certain bioregion must be examined and reviewed by verifying the traditional knowledge.

III. Develop global human resources that can create sustainable regions: Development of human resources that possess the “Kokorozashi” to understand and solve comprehensive issues of a region, and that are not only able to solve local problems, but also capable of carrying out a global dialogue among bioregions. This human resources must contribute to the cooperation among the private sector (corporate entities), the public sector (local government), the community sector (citizens and local community organizations), towards these diverse activities in community development.

IV. Develop human resources that can achieve autonomy for a sustainable society: Human resources that can respect the local cultural diversity created by the natural environment, understand the significance of regional autonomy. This will make possible the building of a true participatory democracy in which the state plays its subsidiary role.

V. Build a sustainable society through reconciliation and collaboration of diverse entities: In order to create a sustainable society through collaboration of diverse entities, human resources that contribute in achieving “reconciliation” to eliminate environmental destruction, social conflict, and economic disparity, based on Japan’s “Nagomi” ideology, must be developed. Focus must be put on cultivating peacebuilding capacity through a non-violent concept and a forgiving conscience.

VI. Develop human resources that enable collaboration of the developed, emerging, and developing nations: ESD must be carried out to achieve an equitable and even more sustainable society which benefits all countries, especially the most vulnerable nations such as the low income countries. Not only the interstate cooperation, but also partnership and collaboration among regions must be promoted.

VII. The above actions with regard to ESD must be started so that efforts to create a sustainable society will be made through collaboration and mutual learning in order to achieve the SDGs, which should not be left to future generations for their implementation.

With the intention to realize the above recommendations, in concrete, we recommend that “innovation in education” and “education for 21st century skills” which will be discussed at the G7 Kurashiki Education Ministers’ Meeting in Okayama will be promoted, focusing on a SDGs-centered ESD. Further, we request that efforts will be made so that “innovation in education” which also includes social innovation education will aim to “ensure inclusive and equitable quality education and promote lifelong learning opportunities for all” (Target 4, SDGs) and will enable to “ensure that all
Education

learners acquire the knowledge and skills needed to promote sustainable development through various kinds of education for the contribution to ESD and sustainable development” (Target 4·7, SDGs).

End.
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1. Purpose of this Position Paper

Adobono School Steering Committee (hereinafter referred to as ‘the Committee’), the managing body of ‘Adobono School’ serving lectures for citizens to raise advocates since 2015, consists of the significant members of various NGOs and NPOs regarding to international cooperation, environmental or regional issues, and so on, in Kansai and Chubu areas.

As the Citizens’ Ise-Shima Summit (hereinafter referred to as ‘Citizens’ Summit’) is held at the same time as the G7 Ise-Shima Summit, the Committee poses the problem of ‘participation and information disclosure’ as to Japanese government’s decision-making process about the G7 summit and other worldwide policies, as well as decision-making process of regional and national policies to host the summit. We make and announce this position paper for numerous participants in the Citizens’ Summit to have productive discussions and proposals through the ‘Strong Civic Society’ session with us.

2. The Recognized Problems

The NGOs and NPOs participating in the Committee have advocated in various fields such as international cooperation, environment, local communities, and so on, and been involved in governmental discussions and forming policies at regional, national, and international levels. Today, while some advanced ‘participation and information disclosure’ examples can be seen in some municipalities and national ministries, cross-sectoral sharing experiences, like environment and international cooperation, regional and global issues, have not being sufficient. As advocacy skills are required to NGOs and NPOs in Japan, they are expected to have sense of responsibility as advocates and need to improve their advocacy skills through appropriate methods and opportunities.

Meanwhile, undoubtedly there is a trend against ‘participation and information disclosure’ in a part of Japanese society. We surely feel that the ‘participation and information disclosure’ in the governmental decision-making is gradually being oppressed under the present Abe regime. The activities of daily living, such as learning about politics and policies, free thinking, discussing, and proposing his/her ideas to the society, are being undermined: visible/invisible pressure is put on mass media, and the information about diplomacy, commerce, security, and so on, which should be open to the public, is kept secret.

This year, Japan hosts the G7 summit, the conference of seven nations ‘sharing the value of
democracy.’ It is doubtful that at present Japan is suitable to participate or host the summit as a democratic nation. We need to create a Japanese society that can contribute to local/global problem-solving by forming an ‘elastically strong’ civil society which enhances democracy in Japan, or this summit could be the last one held in this country.

3. **Agendas**

   (1) How to share, implement, and hand down the experiences and lessons of advocacy and examples of ‘participation and information disclosure’ gained in various regional/global fields.

   (2) How to fight back the oppressing trend against the ‘participation and information disclosure’ in the politics, economy, and society in Japan. How to create a community where one can freely learn, think, discuss and propose his/her ideas.

   (3) How and what to suggest as opinions of regional communities against international politics, such as the G7 summit, which are proceeded irrelevantly to civic life.

4. **Proposals**

   (1) **To NGOs and NPOs**

      ① NGOs and NPOs do not exist to fill niches for governments or corporations, but to make suggestions for sustainable solutions for specific problems, standing at the viewpoints of people in/and regional communities. Remember that YOU ARE the advocates.

      ② Share and implement experiences and lessons of advocacy and the examples of ‘participation and information disclosure’ beyond the border of regional/global or different fields. Adobono School is willing to help you in spreading those know-hows nationwide.

   (2) **To Residents in Japanese Society**

      ③ Support NGOs or NPOs that interest you as possible. Learn about their activities and objectives, and join the activities as possible. Share and discuss on them with people around you.
4) Beware of the information announced by mass media and internet. Try to read news articles reported by several media on the same matter. Do not hesitate to support the articles you like and remonstrate against the ones you don’t.

(3) To Governments of Japan and Other Member Nations of the G7

5) We denounce the short process of the preparation for the G7 summit and the late announcement of its agendas. The regional communities and citizens do not merely participate in the summit as festive decorations. Start substantial conversation immediately with civil society so that it can be deeply involved in discussions on the agendas at the summit.

6) Although the enthusiasm of G7 leaders gathering to deal with global issues is appreciated, it is dubious if discussing only among the leaders of particular nations far apart from directly related people or areas is a proper way to solve the global problems. We strongly request you to continuously consider discussion process and exchanging ideas with the related people/areas for more appropriate problem-solution of global issues.
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